Mathematics 222B Lecture 1 Notes

Daniel Raban

January 18, 2022

1 Introduction to Sobolev Spaces

The main reference for our material on Sobolev spaces will be Ch 5 of Evans' PDE book.

1.1 Sobolev spaces

Definition 1.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, where $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open. The *k*-th order L^p -based Sobolev norm of u is

$$||u||_{W^{k,p}(U)} := \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha \le k} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^p},$$

where we are using the distributional derivative and assume that D^{α} is an L^{p} function.

Remark 1.1. Expressions of the form $||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^p}$ arise in the energy method for PDEs with p = 2.

Definition 1.2. The L^p -based Sobolev space of order k on U is

$$W^{k,p}(U) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(U) : \|u\|_{W^{k,p}(U)} < \infty \}.$$

Note that $C_c^{\infty}(U) \subseteq W^{k,p}(U)$. This allows us to make the following definition:

Definition 1.3. The set of $u \in W^{k,p}(U)$ that vanish (to appropriate orders) on ∂U is

$$W_0^{k,p}(U) = \overline{C_0^{\infty}(U)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}}}.$$

When p = 2, we introduce the notation

$$H^k(U) = W^{k,2}(U), \qquad H^k_0 = W^{k,2}_0(U).$$

We can define an inner product on H^k by

$$\langle u,v\rangle_{H^k} = \sum_{\alpha: |\alpha| \le k} \langle D^{\alpha}u, D^{\alpha}v\rangle_{L^2}.$$

Proposition 1.1.

- (i) For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $(W^{k,p}(U), \|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}})$ and $(W_0^{k,p}(U), \|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}})$ are Banach spaces.
- (ii) For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $(H^k(U), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^k})$ and $(H_0^k(U), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^k})$ are Hilbert spaces.
- (iii) (Fourier-analytic characterization of H^k) Given $u \in H^k(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u||_{H^k} &\simeq \|\widehat{u}\|_{L^2} + \||\xi|^k \widehat{u}\|_{L^2} \\ &\simeq \|(1+|\xi|^2)^{k/2} \widehat{u}\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \simeq B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

1.2 Duality and Sobolev spaces of negative order

First, we will give a proposition, and then we will explain what is going on.

Proposition 1.2. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and 1 ,

$$(W_0^{k,p}(U))^* \simeq W^{-k,p'}(U),$$

where $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$.

Definition 1.4. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and 1 , the negative order Sobolev space norm is

$$\|u\|_{W^{-k,p}(U)} = \inf\left\{\sum_{\alpha:|\alpha| \le k} \|g_{\alpha}\|_{L^p} : u = \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha| \le k} D^{\alpha}g_{\alpha}\right\}.$$

The negative order Sobolev space is

$$W^{-k,p}(U) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(U) : u = \sum_{\alpha : |\alpha| \le k} A^{\alpha} g_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in L^{p}(U) \}.$$

Remark 1.2. If $g \in L^p$, then $D_{x^1}g \in W^{-1,p}(U)$. Compare this with the property of Sobolev spaces that if $u \in W^{k,p}(U)$, then $D_{x^j}u \in W^{k-1,p}(U)$.

Here is the proof of the proposition:

Proof. $(W_0^{k,p}(U))^* \supseteq W^{-k,p'}(U)$: Take $v \in W^{-k,p'}(U)$, so $v = \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha| \le k} D^{\alpha} g_{\alpha}$; we can also take this decomposition so that $\|\sum_{\alpha:|\alpha| \le k} D^{\alpha} g_{\alpha}\|_{L^p} \le 2\|v\|_{W^{k,p'}(U)}$. Then for $u \in W_0^{k,p}(U)$, we can treat v as a linear functional by

$$\langle v, u \rangle = \int v u \, dx$$

To show that this is bounded,

$$=\sum_{\alpha:|\alpha|\leq k}\int D^{\alpha}g_{\alpha}u\,dx$$

First assuming $u \in C_c^{\infty}$ and then applying a density argument,

$$= \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha| \le k} \int (-1)^{|\alpha|} g_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u \, dx$$
$$\leq \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha| \le k} |g_{\alpha}\|_{L^{p'}} ||D^{\alpha} u||_{L^{p}}$$
$$\leq C ||v||_{W^{-k,p'}} ||u||_{W^{k,p}}.$$

 $(W_0^{k,p}(U))^* \subseteq W^{-k,p'}(U)$: The idea is to use the Hahn-Banach theorem. If X is a nomed vector space and $Y \subseteq X$ with a linear functional $\ell: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\ell(u)| \leq C||u||$, then there exists an extension $\tilde{\ell}: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\tilde{\ell}(u)| \leq c||u||$ and $\tilde{\ell}|_Y = \ell$.

Let $\ell: W_0^{k,p}(U) \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Define a linear map $C_0^{\infty}(U) \to L^p(U)^{\oplus K(k)}$ sending $u \mapsto (u, D_{x^1}u, \dots, D_{x^{\alpha}}u, \dots, D^{\alpha}u)$, ranging over all multiindices α with $|\alpha| \leq k$. Then $||Y(u)|| \leq C||u||_{W^{k,p}}$, T is injective, and T is an isomorphism of $(C_c^{\infty}(U), || \cdot ||_{W^{k,p}})$ with its image $(T(C_c^{\infty}(U)), || \cdot ||)$. This gives a bounded map $\tilde{\ell}: T(C_c^{\infty}(U)) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\ell(Tu) = \ell(u)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\tilde{\ell}$ extends to a bounded map $\tilde{\ell}: L^p(U)^{\oplus K} \to \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\tilde{\tilde{\ell}} \in (L^p(U)^{\oplus K})^* = \{\tilde{v} = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{g}_{\alpha} : \tilde{g}_{\alpha} \in L^{p'}(U)$. In this picture, for $\tilde{u} \in L^p(U)^{\oplus K}$, $\langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{u} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{g}_{\alpha}, \tilde{u}_{\alpha} \rangle$. This means that $\tilde{\tilde{\ell}}(\tilde{v}) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{g}_{\alpha}, \tilde{u}_{\alpha} \rangle$ for some $\tilde{g}_{\alpha} \in L^{p'}(U)$. This gives

$$\ell(u) = \widetilde{\ell}(Tu) = \widetilde{\widetilde{\ell}}(Tu) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \widetilde{g}_{\alpha}, (Tu)_{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \widetilde{g}_{\alpha}, D^{\alpha}u \rangle.$$

Now set $g_{\alpha} = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \widetilde{g}_{\alpha}$.

1.3 Duality in relation to existence and uniqueness

Here is some motivation for our functional analysis. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, and let $P: X \to Y$ be bounded and linear.

- For a given $f \in Y$, does there exists a $u \in X$ such that Pu = f? This is the question of existence of a solution to Pu = f.
- We can also ask about uniqueness: If $u, u' \in X$ and Pu = Pu', is u = u'? That is, if Pu = 0, is u = 0?

In our course, we usually take P to be a linear differential operator, such as $P = -\Delta$ or $P = \Box$. If we want to solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } U\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\int_{U} |Du|^2 = \int_{U} -\Delta u \cdot u = \int_{U} f.$$

This gives

$$\|Du\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left|\int f u \, dx\right|.$$

We are assuming that we have a solution and inferring information about u. This is called an **a priori estimate**.

In energy methods for PDEs, you usually prove a priori estimates, which at first sight, only pertain to uniqueness. However, in fact, a priori estimates are also useful for proving existence because existence vs uniqueness are related to each other using duality. This is the phenomenon in linear algebra where if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, then A is injective iff A^* is surjective.